

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DE L'ARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Ale Jandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/543,310	04/05/2000	Rabindranath Dutta	AUS990913US1	6408	
45502 DILLON & Y	7590 12/28/2006		EXAMINER		
8911 N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY.,			MIRZA, ADNAN M		
SUITE 2110 AUSTIN, TX	· 78759	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AOSTIN, TA	10137		2145		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MC	ONTHS	12/28/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/543,3	09/543,310 DUTTA, RABIND		RANATH		
		Examine	-	Art Unit			
	•	Adnan M.	Mirza	2145			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	idress		
A SHOWHIC - Exter - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF TH s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev munication. tatutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this o ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·						
·	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊠ This action is r for allowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pr		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the state of the above claim(s) is/a claim(s) is/a claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers The specification is objected to by the theorem of the drawing(s) filed on is/are applicant may not request that any objected to supple the drawing sheet(s) including the specification of the specification is objected to be supple to the drawing sheet(s) including the specification of the specification is objected to be supple to the specification is objected to the specification is objected to be supple to the specification is objected to the specification is objected to the specification is objected to	are withdrawn from concion and/or election rule examiner. a) accepted or by ection to the drawing(s) long the correction is required.	equirement. Output Discrept to by the period in abeyance. Served if the drawing(s) is objected to the drawing(s) is objected if the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C			
11)∐	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)	·					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2145

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments, see Appeal Brief, filed 10/09/2006, with respect to prior art have been fully considered and are persuasive. The Finality of rejection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims1-7, 9-15, 17-23,25-31,33-39,41-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jamtgaard et al (U.S. 6,430,624), Rennard et al (U.S. 6,615,131) and further in view of Warrier et al (U.S. 6,707,809).

As per claims 1,9,17,25,33,41 Jamtgaard disclosed a method for delivering data over a network system, comprising the steps of: receiving, in a first data processing system, a request for a first data page from a first client system; in response to the request from the second data processing system, sending a reduced-content page, corresponding to the first data page, from the first data processing system to the second data processing system (col. 2, lines 40-59);

Art Unit: 2145

However Jamtgaard failed to disclose wherein the second data processing system communicates with the data processing system over a first connection and the third data processing system communicates with the first data processing system over a second connection.

In the same field of endeavor Rennard disclosed wireless device communicates through a wireless carrier, gateway and the Internet with server. In one embodiment, one or more of these connections need not be sustained continuously. FIG 9 depicts a method for reducing the time when a connection between the wireless carrier and the server is sustained through the Internet. Among other reasons, this approach proves beneficial in reducing the connection time through the Internet Such a method also proves beneficial when there exists a lag or latency in the Internet connection or where the Internet connection has a high associated cost measured in money, time or other cost factor (col. 17, lines 51-63). The method illustrated in Fig. 9 can be used to remove the connection from wireless device to wireless carrier (col. 18, lines 13-16)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the sending the first data page to a second client system, wherein the first client system communicates with the data processing system over a more expensive connection than the second client system communicates with the data processing system. The method illustrated in Fig. 9 can be used to remove the connection from wireless device to wireless carrier as taught by Rennard in the method of Jamtgaard to reduce the cost of the wireless connection to Internet and reduce latency in terms of down link.

Art Unit: 2145

However Jamtgaard-Rennard failed to disclose and in response to the request from the second data processing system, sending the first data page from the first data processing system but separate and distinct from the second data processing system.

In the same field of endeavor Warrier disclosed, "When the home agent receives the data from the source (e.g., WAP oush server), it checks in its mobility binding record to see if the mobile node is currently registered and active. When it determines that the mobile is inactive, the home agent sends a received data indication message to the home agent control node. Upon receipt of a received data indication message, the home agent control node responsively refers to the mobility binding record for idle mobile nodes to identify the foreign agent with which Idle mobile node last initiated a connection. The home agent control node sends a paging request message to the identified foreign agent to cause it to page the mobile node. When the mobile node responds to the page, it reestablishes a connection with said foreign agent and after registration, may receive the data from the home agent using known mobile IP tunneling techniques" (col. 4, lines 28-43).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have incorporated When the home agent receives the data from the source (e.g., WAP oush server), it checks in its mobility binding record to see if the mobile node is currently registered and active. When it determines that the mobile is inactive, the home agent sends a received data indication message to the home agent control node. Upon receipt of a received data indication message, the home agent control node responsively refers to the mobility binding

Art Unit: 2145

record for idle mobile nodes to identify the foreign agent with which Idle mobile node last initiated a connection. The home agent control node sends a paging request message to the identified foreign agent to cause it to page the mobile node. When the mobile node responds to the page, it reestablishes a connection with said foreign agent and after registration, may receive the data from the home agent using known mobile IP tunneling techniques as taught by Warrier in the method and system of Jamtgaard-Rennard to reduce the cost of the wireless connection to Internet and reduce latency in terms of down link.

- 3. As per claims 2-3,10-11,18-19,26-27,34-35,42-43 Jamtgaard-Rennard-Warrier disclosed after the receiving step, the step of creating a reduced-content page corresponding to the first data page (Jamtgaard, col. 8, lines 12-24).
- 4. As per claims 4,12,20,28,36,44 J Jamtgaard-Rennard-Warrier disclosed wherein the second data processing system communicates via a wireless connection (Jamtgaard, col. 4, lines 58-67).
- 5. As per claims 5,13,21,29,37,45 Jamtgaard-Rennard-Warrier disclosed wherein the reduced content page is a wireless markup language page (Jamtgaard, col. 6, lines 59-63).
- 6. As per claims 6,14,22,30,38,46 J Jamtgaard-Rennard-Warrier disclosed wherein the first data page is a hypertext markup language page (Jamtgaard, col. 4, lines 59-66).

Art Unit: 2145

7. As per claims 7,15,23,31,39,47 Jamtgaard-Rennard-Warrier disclosed wherein the first data page is sent to the third data processing system via an electronic mail message (Rennard, col. 9, lines 52-57).

8. Claims 8,16,24,32,40,48 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jamtgaard et al (U.S. 6,430,624), Rennard et al (U.S. 6,615,131), Warrier et al (U.S. 6,707,809) and further in view of Puri et al (U.S. 6,148,330).

As per claims 8,16,24,32,40,48 Jamtgaard-Rennard-Warrier failed to disclose wherein the first data page is sent to the third data processing system via a push delivery system. In the sane field of endeavor Puri disclosed window has displayed content that was automatically generated and push-delivered to personal computer by a channel service/content provider via the Internet and WWW according to the present invention (col. 10, lines 56-64).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the wherein the first data page is sent to the second client system via a push delivery system as taught by Puri in the method of Jamtgaard-Rennard-Warrier to make the convential web-browsing technology more efficient.

Art Unit: 2145

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1-48 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (571)-272-3885.
- 11. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571)-272-3933. The fax for this group is (703)-746-7239. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for un published applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2145

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866)-217-9197 (toll-free).

Adnan Mirza

Examiner

· AM

JASON CARDONE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER